

This is update 180329. It supersedes 180328. If you have an older copy, replace it with this one.

1. Explanation.

This document is directed to the attention of Auke Kok, also known as Auke-Jan H. Kok, of Hillsboro, Oregon. It may be of interest to other parties as well.

This document was written at Auke-Jan's request.

Auke-Jan is, or has been, one of the leaders of a FOSS (Free and Open Source) project. He's proposed civil litigation related to the project in question. I've been asked to comment on a number of related legal points.

The issues involved include, directly or indirectly:

Copyrights, trademarks, spousal abuse, physical child abuse, child sexual abuse, Free Speech, defamation, anonymity, physical bullying, "cyber" bullying, Bitcoin, DDoS (a type of hacker attack), abuse of process, evolutionary psychology, multiple personality disorder, colorful yarn products, and, last but not least, child pornography.

I've written a legal analysis in response. This document isn't the analysis. It should be thought of as the introduction.

This document was originally intended to be a single-page interim acknowledgment. I propose now to approach it as the starting point for a complete book. The legal analysis will be added as one of the upcoming steps.

You should have received 14 numbered pages. This is draft 180329 of the document. It supersedes lower-numbered drafts.

The legal analysis is expected to be 15 to 25 pages. Future drafts that include both the introduction and the analysis are expected to total 30 to 40 pages. Subsequent iterations might take the length to 50 to 75 pages by Spring 2019.

Reporters and bloggers: Key concepts are listed as bullet items in part 2. The author's web pages are listed at the bottom of page 1.

Knitting and yarn people: Auke Kok is the husband of Stacey Serafin of Fierce Fibers and Thoroughly Thwacked, businesses previously located in Hillsboro, Oregon which sell colorful yarn products. Some of you are acquainted with him.

If you're a third party, here are four websites that will help to explain things:

<http://haggishell.com/>

<http://haggishell.com/xmas>

<http://leonardduboff.com/>

<http://minetest.org/>

Note: Haggis Hell may be down for maintenance at times. Work is expected to last, however, only a day or two each time.

Attempts have been made to deliver this document to Auke Kok by process server. However, the street address registered with the State of Oregon for Fierce Fibers and Thoroughly Thwacked is apparently obsolete.

This means, as a side note, that the two companies may not be operating legally.

This document is licensed under Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0. You're welcome to distribute copies provided that you comply with the terms of the license.

For the purposes of attribution as discussed in the license terms and conditions, the rights-holder is OldCoder.

This draft was prepared using LibreOffice 6 running under Laclin, a Linux distro that the author has been developing for 25 years.

2. Key concepts.

For reporters and bloggers, concepts include:

- * Stormy Daniels. There are parallels to issues raised by the Stormy Daniels case.
- * Spousal abuse. Wife-beating.
- * Gag orders related to spousal abuse and sexual abuse.
- * Freenode. A shadowy organization where pre-teens talk privately with anonymous "volunteers".
- * Child pornography. Auke Kok's group was connected to a Fall 2017 incident.
- * LTM and PIA. Organization that owns Freenode. Separate FBI child pornography investigation.
- * Bitcoin. Andrew Lee is the founder of Bitcoin Foundation. He also seems to own LTM and PIA.
- * Linux systemd. Auke Kok is one of the architects of systemd.
- * Minecraft. Minetest is essentially a free variation of Minecraft.
- * Abuse of Process. More interesting than it sounds. Rich people use loopholes to get gag orders.
- * Sexual abuse. Freenode sought vigorously in Spring 2017 to cover up incidents of this type.
- * Amazon Corporation. The 2012 litigation included allegations that Amazon had been "hacked".
- * Multiple Personality Disorder. One of the key figures is a rare but genuine case.
- * Parenting. Parents don't realize that their kids are running public servers from home.
- * Edutech. Minetest is an interesting example of a failed edutech project.
- * Evolutionary Psychology. Racism, lynchings, the Confederate statues issue, the Holocaust.

3. Street addresses.

This document is to be delivered to Auke Kok and/or Stacey Serafin. Note: Stacey is Auke-Jan's wife.

There are two family businesses named, respectively, "Fierce Fibers" and "Thoroughly Thwacked". The registered address for both businesses is the residence located at: 3#### Se Ironwood Avenue, Hillsboro, Oregon 97123

The address is presently redacted because a process server has been told that Kok and Serafin no longer reside there.

This means that, as of Spring 2018, Fierce Fibers and Thoroughly Thwacked may not be in compliance with the laws of the State of Oregon as they apply to registration of businesses. However, this issue remains to be investigated.

4. Visual identification.

For the purposes of identification by process servers:

Auke-Jan is a white male in his 40s with slightly dark hair. Stacey is a white female in her 30s with reddish hair. The “Kok” surname may sound Asian, but it isn't. Auke-Jan is from the Netherlands.

5. Letter.

Auke-Jan, good day.

5.1. Introduction.

This document isn't the promised response to the legal boilerplate that you cajoled a literally blind attorney into sending.

The promised legal analysis was largely completed in early February. It's 15 to 20 pages long presently and may reach 25 pages. I set it aside for a while, but I do plan to send it.

This letter is a reflective acknowledgment as opposed to the upcoming legal analysis. I feel, by the way, that you'll find the analysis worthwhile.

5.2. The past year.

It's been an interesting period.

Since Spring 2017, the group that Andrew Ward and you, personally, have led has committed and/or has been involved in multiple prosecutable crimes and/or civil torts, including but not limited to the following:

- * Three instances of DDoS, including one instance that resulted in 150 GB of log files
- * Defamation, including, but not limited to, a formal defamation forum managed by you personally
- * Stalking by multiple people
- * Multiple death threats, both emailed to me and posted online
- * Child sexual abuse
- * Attempted cover-up of the child sexual abuse
- * Extortion
- * False statements made to a business associate intended to interfere with business
- * Last, but not least, one hastily covered-up incident related to child pornography

5.3. Notes for attorneys.

- a. Previously, Andrew Ward had denied, in the defamation forum, that the child pornography incident had occurred. However, on New Year's Eve 2017, Andrew retracted this position. He now acknowledges that it did take place.
- b. Andrew Ward states that the child pornography materials were simulated.
- c. Andrew Ward has instructed me to use the term “sexual abuse” to describe activities which took place during a previous period. The phrase, in other words, is from Auke-Jan Kok's co-manager and not from me.
- d. Additionally, I haven't alleged that Auke-Jan has committed sexual abuse.
- e. In short, Auke-Jan doesn't get to use the burden of proof exemption that's allowed in defamation cases when allegations of that type are made.

5.4. Defamation forum.

Auke-Jan:

You've played a direct role in the harassment and the defamation that has continued without pause now for a year. You've acted, in particular, as the manager of a defamation forum that is dedicated specifically to me.

I'm honored, I suppose. However, in addition to other steps that are outlined in this document, you should be advised that I have the option of civil litigation related to defamation.

You'd be respondent based both on your formal role as manager of the forum and on the fact that you, personally, blocked me from responding to false statements related to the child pornography activity that your group engaged in.

Andrew Ward asserts that the defamation forum is protected “Free Speech”. However, it isn't “Free Speech” if it's a public forum, and I'm the subject of the forum, and I'm systematically singled out as the one person who isn't allowed to respond.

Burden of proof in defamation cases is generally on plaintiffs. However, take the preceding, add Andrew's public denial in the forum that the child pornography incident had occurred, throw in a police report which shows that it did occur, and top it off with the fact that you, personally, blocked me from responding to Andrew.

Show the preceding to an attorney. Then get out your checkbook. You're going to need to pay him. And then you're going to need to pay me.

Not a troll, this time. Speak to a genuine attorney. Be sure to tell him or her the full story. If you withhold information, you're not really helping your case.

5.5. My abuser.

The situation described above, “Sofar” as it goes, is unpleasant but manageable. Note to others: The “Sofar” part is an inside joke.

However, you made the decision in January 2018 to change that.

2017 was disturbing due to parallels with an experience that I'd gone through from 2012 to 2013.

There was a legal battle with my abuser that lasted for a full year. He thought that a book that I was writing was going to be about the abuse. So he came out of the blue and tried to force me to sign a gag order.

I won the legal battle. However, it cost me my life savings after half a century and left me homeless and without resources. You know all of this, of course, and have mocked it. Such kindness. It's your trademark.

Still, these were two separate matters. Until you elected to make them one and the same thing.

My mother's broken nose, the other things that my father did, the things that happened elsewhere... your group is now repeating what you've said. You've taught children to laugh at abuse.

There is no longer a separation between what was done to me in 2012 and what you and your group have done for a year presently.

5.6. Troll operation.

I deconstructed your behavior in public posts. You persuaded an attorney to threaten me hoping to get a takedown.

Which isn't going to happen. Pro Tip, Son: It's going to be, in a manner of speaking, the opposite. You've hugged the Tar Baby.

I'm referring to the 1880 story as opposed to urban slang. You're not from the U.S. so you probably don't know the Tar Baby story. Google it.

The attorney is a clumsy fool. Or his assistant is. Did you read what he or his assistant prepared before it went out?

It might have been his wife. You're aware, at any rate, that this is a blind attorney who isn't exactly Matt Murdoch. He relies heavily on assistants.

I assume he understands, by now, that I view his husband-wife team as a troll operation that may have violated State Bar standards and/or the law.

A troll operation that I can, and will, spit upon as publicly as possible. Or perhaps a different word that rhymes with “spit”.

I trust that we've established the level of dignity and respect at which business will be conducted.

5.7. The future step by step.

You said that my explanation of the past few years was “made up”. Did you truly believe that? What did you imagine was going to happen?

Allow me to educate you:

- a. I'm going to finish a detailed response to the troll attorney's boilerplate
- b. It will probably be 15 to 25 pages long
- c. The phrases “child sexual abuse” and “child pornography” will be used
- d. Printed copies will be widely distributed for legitimate and reasonable purposes
- e. Distribution of updated copies will continue for perhaps 5 to 10 years
- f. Updated copies will add new information to promote positive social goals
- g. Due to your missteps, you'll have essentially no legal options related to anything

It's not a hypothetical process.

You've scoffed at the notion that the 2012 litigation actually occurred and that I addressed it by proceeding as outlined above.

Pull the Court records, Son. They're still in existence. Some of them discuss the initial mailings.

The attack in 2012 came as a shock. I nearly died. The Emergency Room told me that the structure of my face had collapsed. The story about that, which you've read and laughed at, is true.

But, when I recovered, I realized what I needed to do. Come out of the shadows and talk as publicly as possible.

I've followed through for 6 years now.

5.8. My plans for your attorney.

The planned legal response should be sufficient to insert your attorney up your fundamental assumptions.

After he wriggles out of there, I expect that he'll leave a vapor trail as he whooshes out the door. That's how it usually goes.

If your attorney hasn't dropped you already, and if he doesn't GTFO before I notice that he's still around, I'll insert you, in a legitimate and reasonable sense that is protected under U.S. laws, up his fundamental assumptions to complete the circle.

The phrases “child sexual abuse” and “child pornography” will be used, accurately, as frequently and as publicly as possible.

In every possible venue, for legitimate and reasonable purposes that are protected under U.S. laws, that every party involved is associated with.

Offers to swear under oath to the facts of the matter will be included.

I'll probably work in the FBI investigation of PIA and see if CBS News is still interested in doing the story that one reporter suggested last Fall.

Yes, fool, the offer was actually made.

5.9. Research protected under U.S. laws.

Subsequent to sending out the legal response to as many people in Oregon and elsewhere as I'm able to think of, I'll explore, for legitimate and reasonable purposes that are protected under U.S. laws, the numerous prosecutable crimes and civil torts that you've committed or are involved in.

I'm interested, for example, in the factors which led you to believe that DDoS, defamation, death threats, child sexual abuse, and child pornography were acceptable activities.

Was it nature? Was it nurture? There are people in Oregon, on the East Coast, and in Europe who will be able to explain, for legitimate and reasonable purposes that are protected under U.S. laws, what you were thinking.

You've read the Haggis Hell weblog. So, you're familiar with the 2012 Legitimate and Reasonable Purposes page.

Expect to see a similar Legitimate and Reasonable Purposes page, one that is quite public and ranked sufficiently high in Google, related to you and to any attorneys who are so foolish as to involve themselves with you.

5.10. Facts of life.

No, with limited exceptions, you can't stop me from talking to pretty much anybody that I choose to talk to.

Better still, as you've made credible and detailed threats, and as I've emphasized that I intend to respond in a legitimate and reasonable manner, you can't even try to position the fact that I'm spelling out what I intend to do in response to your threats as a "threat" or as "harassment" on my part.

Son, you've miscalculated. You understand that, don't you?

5.11. Craig Robbins.

I don't recall if you and I have ever discussed intelligence.

Craig Robbins – one of your people and somebody who threatened repeatedly to kill me – he and I discussed the definition of IQ during the period where he was trying to explain how your group planned to accomplish my demise.

I assessed Craig as a high-grade moron. Clinically speaking. I'm not using the term, in this context, as a pejorative.

As a note to others, Craig was a mentally ill man who was believed to be about the same age as Auke-Jan. He read my weblog and proudly announced that he'd doxed me. By copy-pasting things I'd written myself without even reading them.

It was pathetic. But, then, Auke-Jan has done something similar.

In the end, I posted evidence which demonstrated that I'd known Craig's full identity and IRL location all along. And that I had the option of responding to the death threats. Actually, I mostly implied that I was going to phone him.

Craig was in Australia, continents away, but the prospect of a phone call from somebody he'd threatened to kill was too much for him. He evaporated.

Good riddance.

5.12. Auke-Jan's tier.

Auke-Jan, at times, you come across as being a little full of yourself. No, there is no irony in the statement.

You rank, obviously, miles above Craig Robbins. But you're a tier down from my brother Ken. Who is on the same tier as Akhil.

You've gone over my websites. You know who Ken and Akhil are. Would you care to guess where I stand relative to them and to you?

Wish to mock? Don't be tedious. It's time for you to sit down, look objectively at your behavior, and assess your options.

5.13. Legitimate and reasonable purposes.

I can, and I will, post everything online. Every step of the way.

And you no longer possess related rights that you might have imagined you possessed. Or, rather, I have a strong defense against claims that your rights have been violated. This frees me to do rather extensive research and to post about quite a range of subjects.

The main thing that I need to protect myself, legally, is a set of clearly defined legitimate and reasonable purposes.

This is what I mean when I state that you and others “belong” to me. When you do certain things, from a legal perspective, you provide me with legitimate and reasonable purposes for a number of possible steps. In short, you surrender some of your rights.

You and others have provided me with boatloads of legitimate and reasonable purposes. So, yes, you do belong to me. It was your choice.

5.14. Publicity and gag orders.

As a related note, there are no “Right to Be Forgotten” laws in the U.S. There will never be such laws here. They’ve been proposed, and one State is presently experimenting with them, but they’ll be thrown out every time.

Additionally, civil litigation will not lead to my signature on a voluntary gag order. Nor to any type of gag order imposed by the Court.

Below the NSA level, it's inadvisable to try to get a gag order.

Attorneys often try to do exactly this regardless. They prey on the ignorance of people that they hope to frighten. However, if they disturb somebody who knows the score, the attempt can backfire and the results can be spectacular.

Stormy Daniels signed a gag order. Even, so, in the end, Donald Trump's attorney isn't going to be able to shut her up.

I've never signed a gag order and I never will. And what you did is more significant than what Donald Trump did.

5.15. Failed attempt at a gag order.

My abuser spent a year and six figures trying to put me under a gag order. He failed. And it was a spectacular failure.

In the 6 years that have passed, the 6 years that you've mocked, I've routinely blanketed neighborhoods and organizations with documents related to my abuser's behavior and to his attempt to impose a gag order on me.

I like to find connections. I’m good at it. It turned out that, in one city, my abuser was friends with the Mayor’s husband. So, I had legitimate and reasonable purposes for writing to the Mayor. Obviously, I did so.

My abuser, for whatever reason, left that city shortly afterwards.

Some of my documents are up to 75 pages in length. They're detailed. Perttu Ahola, Nathanel Courant, and you feel that I should be ashamed of being detailed. I'm not ashamed of it. Let me show you how detailed I can be.

I imagine that, by now, my abuser understands that he miscalculated. What do you understand, Auke-Jan?

5.16. Moving forward.

I can't be formally served by email. Attempts to use “registered email” will be mocked. However, this said, I acknowledge receipt of laughably bad boilerplate from the attorney who you cajoled into threatening me.

The attorney who is now the subject of an Internet domain dedicated, for legitimate and reasonable purposes that are protected under U.S. laws, to his possibly illegal behavior.

I wrote a draft of a legal analysis in February. This letter isn't the analysis. When the analysis is sent, ask an attorney to review it. Preferably an attorney of better quality than the troll that you found on a scrap-heap.

I'd be interested in having the legal analysis reviewed by somebody who understands what he or she is doing.

Not a troll who risks his reputation and his practice in attempts to frighten people into sending him money using the most inept boilerplate that I've seen in years.

It doesn't matter, by the way, if it was actually an assistant who prepared that rubbish. He'll need to take responsibility for it.

5.17. Schedule.

When the legal analysis reached 15 to 20 pages, I set it aside to work on my Coderland project. I'm sure that you'll excuse the delay once you hear the story.

Minetest France had gone down mysteriously despite the efforts of somebody who calls himself Liberty45.

Liberty45 reported that swarms of unusually capable bots were ravaging his world. He fought them off to the best of his ability. However, in the end, the active instance of the world was destroyed. I'm not sure that the bots were responsible, but it's possible.

I offered Liberty45 a temporary copy of Minetest France. The type of copy that bots using the Minetest capture feature can make.

Liberty45 accepted the offer. However, he was upset that the copy was incomplete, grieved his own world, and left.

But another Minetest France person, Slike Serpent, visited and asked me to add a copy of Axinite City to my copy of Minetest France.

Slike Serpent had had a dispute with the host of Axinite City. He wasn't welcome there and wanted a copy that he could visit without feeling harassed.

He explained that it might be complicated to obtain a copy because the host had locked things down completely. No new members.

However, "completely" is relative. You're invited to visit my copy of Axinite City. It worked out pretty well.

I had a group of hacker kids for years. Some of them are still around, though they've grown up.

The hacker kid referred to on Haggis Hell as “Quadcore” hopes to be married soon. He turned out to be “bi”, so he’ll be marrying another young man. Times change.

I mention Quadcore because his parents threw him out of the house as a teenager when they learned that he was “bi”. They were full of hatred towards the different. Just as you are, Auke-Jan.

Slike Serpent reminded me of the original hacker kids. And I learned that he possessed legitimate and reasonable skills. So, I worked with him on the Axinite City issue. And I taught him what I could in general.

Since you're following my weblog, I'll mention that Slike Serpent is connected indirectly to the post that will mark my return there after a year.

Codelicker, the writer in Brazil that I've mentioned on Haggis Hell, doesn't like cats. He's allergic to them. But a dying stray cat arrived in his life on Christmas Eve.

Codelicker inspected the cat a few days after it joined his household. He says that he saw a feline version of the Grim Reaper materialize. The cat looked at him and asked to be saved. So, he decided to save her.

Slike Serpent is mentally different. He cares deeply about animals. They're honest and easy to communicate with, unlike people. I think that those are the key factors. When he learned that Codelicker's cat was dying, he wanted to know more.

So, I've written a weblog post for both of these people. I suspended work on my weblog one year ago due to... actually, it was due to you, Auke-Jan. But the weblog will resume and the cat story will be the first of the new posts.

Upstream for Minetest France eventually booted up a backup copy of that world. So, my copy of the world was no longer needed.

But I rebranded it as a revival of Coderland, my test platform for the modset that Real Bad Angel wants me to create.

Imaginary worlds are fun. Feelings, maneuvers, proud little empires, events on a tiny galactic scale. It's important, though, to keep things from getting out of hand.

Isn't it, Son?

After I revived Coderland, and after I worked on the story about the dying cat, I was distracted by IRL issues. We all need to need with IRL issues.

Yes, there are problems with my limbs. No, it isn't solely about “swollen”. I had surgery a year ago to remove a growth from one hand. Not from the surface. It was large and inside the hand. A similar growth appeared recently and is increasing in size.

How nice of you to mock of all of this.

And I've realized that I need to finish a State Bar complaint which names multiple attorneys. The complaint is related to past litigation.

The State Bar complaint takes priority over the legal analysis for you. However, this letter is intended, in part, to notify you that a complete response to your threats is in progress.

5.18. Being detailed.

The legal analysis will be detailed. Despite your group's formal position that details, and therefore autistics, are bad and to be mocked.

If I may be permitted a direct statement, you're a piece of work. Made, like your associates, of hatred towards the different. Not differences even as visible as skin color.

You're so full of hatred that you applaud spousal abuse.

How does Stacey feel about that? Do you exhibit your coarse temper to her? Do you do it in front of the children?

5.19. Responsibility.

The group that you've led is composed largely of anonymous parties. This doesn't mean that it can't be held accountable.

Notify the group that, respectfully, I intend to proceed with each member whose identity is known and who resides in the U.S. as I've dealt appropriately, and will deal appropriately, with you.

Actually, I can deal appropriately with people in other countries as well. English-speaking countries, at any rate.

I talked, for example, for legitimate and reasonable purposes, to Andrew Ward's employer. In that case, the head of the firm claimed that Andrew had left his position. But sooner or later Andrew and the others will surface.

Freenode may need to take responsibility for its role as well. Christel Dahlskaer reports to Andrew Lee. It appears that Dahlskaer isn't usually in the U.S. However, Lee is. And the FBI is already interested in him.

The story that CBS News expressed interest last year in a broadcast about Andrew Lee's role is true.

You can't cover it all up, Auke-Jan, or escape responsibility for your part. Cover-up attempts are likely to go up your assumptions.

Don't try to escape your responsibility by disclaiming future involvement with the group.

You were one of the leaders. You, personally, ran the defamation forum. Until you've taken responsibility for what you've done, and things are fixed to the extent possible, you belong, in a legitimate and reasonable sense that is protected under U.S. laws, to me.

Expect a detailed response, 15 to 25 pages, to the troll attorney's boilerplate, boilerplate that is likely to lead to embarrassment for him and for you, hopefully to be sent later in the Spring. Sent, of course, to as many parties as I'm able to think of.

5.20. Closing note.

I've stumbled across a copy of Kray's World from 2012, which a number of Minetest people have expressed interest in, while tidying up some directories.

This is apparently the oldest surviving major Minetest world. A world that might predate Redcrab.

There was a major world, Ghostshell, that might have been older. I learned about that world 6 years ago before, actually, I'd even heard of the Minetest project.

However, Ghostshell, the person, was depressed and allowed the world to disintegrate. I hate to see creative effort lost. Effort is Time which is Life. But there wasn't much that I could do about it.

If my copy of Kray's World isn't damaged, I might have it up and running again by this Summer.

However, my work on CoderSea, CoderMobs, and other modsets has been largely stopped for a year due to the actions of your group.

Imagine how far we might have progressed if it hadn't been for the need that you and the others felt to bully and to troll.

You're not simply an adult. You're over age 40. What were you thinking?

Over 40 but not old. You had a life. And you still have decades to look forward to.

I, on the other hand, spent half a century in the shadows, frightened, shaking at the sight of my own shadow. And now night shadows o'er the scene.

I'm the mouse no longer. I like to demonstrate this. Especially with attorneys and with people of your type. It makes me smile.

But it's too late for courage to matter much. And you've come and stepped on one of the few diversions that I had left, laughing gleefully, a blank bully stare on your face.

It would have been nice if I'd been allowed to have, and to keep, a corner, a place where I could set aside what my abuser did to me in 2012, a place to relax, to build toys for people young and old, and to make a difference.

I was proud of Sparky's video, you know. The video at this link:

<http://haggishell.com/xmas>

He and a small group made the video for me a few Christmases ago. It was an unexpected gift. Sparky didn't tell me what it was. He simply visited and asked, "Can you review this video?" I did and my jaw dropped.

It isn't self-centered to note that the video is a fair assessment. The person in the video is the person I'd sought to become.

And that I did become. Until you came and smashed the work of 5 years. 5 years when I've got as few as 10 of them left.

To be left alone to create and to bring happiness was too much for me to ask. You had to make my father's fist part of it.

It's all right. I'll put you to legitimate and reasonable use. Some good will come of it. And you have no say in the matter, Son.

Wait for the legal analysis. Find a non-idiot attorney. Ask him or her to review the analysis and to spell out the facts of life.

Instruct the attorney to tell you the truth this time. And to use simple terms. You're a slow learner and will need to progress a step at a time.

Regards, Robert (the Old Coder)